## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

\_\_\_\_\_

FARRIS PALMORE and the Inmates of the Dothan City Jail

\*

Plaintiffs,

\*

V.

1:06-CV-503-MHT

\* (WO)

D.T. MARSHAL, et al.,

\*

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **ORDER**

This case is presently pending before the court on a complaint filed by Farris Palmore, an inmate incarcerated in the Dothan City Jail. A thorough review of this complaint indicates that although Plaintiff lists nine defendants in this cause of action, the complaint consists merely of general conclusions of constitutional violations and fails to identify factual allegations material to specific counts lodged against the named defendants with respect to any violations of Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

"This type of pleading completely disregards Rule 10(b)'s requirement that discrete claims should be plead in separate counts, *see Anderson v. Dist. Bd. of Tr.*, 77 F.3d 364-366-67 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996), and is the type of complaint that [has been] criticized time and again." *Magluta v. Samples*, 256 F.3d 1282, 1284 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001). Accordingly, the court deems it

appropriate to require Plaintiff to amend his complaint to re-plead a complaint that respects the requirements of Rule 8, Fed. R. Civ. P., and the heightened pleading requirement for such cases as well as to correct the deficiencies noted herein.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that on or before June 27, 2006 Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint on a form for use in filing a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint. See Local Rule 9.1 (requiring pro se litigants to utilize court's forms). The amended complaint filed in compliance with this order shall supersede the original complaint. This means that Plaintiff shall no longer rely on the original complaint and this case will proceed only on those claims raised and against those defendants named in the amended complaint filed in accordance with the order.

It is further ORDERED that Plaintiff's amended complaint shall:

- 1. Specifically identify who the named defendants are;
- 2. Identify specific claims relative to actions taken against Plaintiff (not other inmates) by the named defendants and list these claims in separate counts;
- 3. Describe with clarity those factual allegations that are material to each specific count against the named defendant(s); and
  - 4. Describe how each named defendant violated *Plaintiff's* constitutional rights.

Plaintiff is hereby advised that the amendment to his complaint must set forth short and plain statements showing why he is entitled to relief and be specific enough to put each

defendant on notice of how their conduct allegedly violated Plaintiffs' constitutional rights

and should contain only claims relative to actions taken against him by the named defendants.

Each allegation in the pleading should be simple, concise and direct. See Rule 8, Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff is further advised that his failure to timely and properly

comply with the directives contained in this order will result in a Recommendation that this

action be dismissed.

Done this 14th day of June 2006.

/s/ Vanzetta Penn McPherson VANZETTA PENN MCPHERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE